Rob Dallmann & Lou Meyer



Proven Solutions for a Growing World

The Davey Tree Expert Company

Landscape Pests 2023



#### Crapemyrtle Bark Scale – Invasive Exotic

- First observed in Texas in 2004
- First Maryland observation in 2020 in Baltimore County
- Traveled by nursery stock
- Targets Crapemyrtle and Beautyberry

## Gloomy Scale – Native Pest

- •Main target is Red Maple
- •Generally affect stressed trees
- •Armored Scale Difficult to reach





### Damage to Trees

- Pierce the tree to drink the sugars (sap)
- Sugar depletion weakens the tree
- Honeydew, then Sooty Mold, covers leaves, decreasing photosynthesis



## Control of Scale

- •Physical Scrape it off
- •Cultural Reduce stressors, reduce nitrogen
- •Biological Promote beneficial insects
- •DIY Chemical Oil during crawler stage
- Professional Chemical Insect Growth Regulator or Systemic Product

## What are these holes?







# Yellow Bellied Sapsucker

- •Feeds on sap and insects
- Round holes for deep drilling
- •Rectangle holes for sap pooling

## Sapsucker Control

- DO NOT KILL
- •Wrap burlap on valued target trees
- •Dangle shiny objects from limbs



## Take Homes

- •Strive for balance
- •Call a professional for guidance
- •Read up before wading in
- •Trees are the Answer



## **Emerging Pests and Diseases**





#### **Chris Riley, PhD** Research Scientist and Technical Support Specialist



Saturday, March 25<sup>th</sup>, 2023



SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907

# *Turn to your neighbor/make a new friend*

# What are the major urban forest pests/diseases on your radar?



### Emerging Urban Forest Challenges in Maryland



### Emerging Urban Forest Challenges in Maryland



#### Spotted Lanternfly Hemiptera: Fulgoridae





#### **Hoppers** Order: Hempitera; Family: Several

Treehoppers

Leafhoppers



Spittlebugs or froghoppers



Cicadas



Lanternflies

SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 190



# FULCORIDAE CHECKLIST

www.nickybay.com

Tree Research Laboratories

SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907





# The story so far...

#### 2014



Initially found in PA in 2014; Thought to have arrived in 2012



## The story so far...

2017



## The story so far...

2020







1 119

/ 100

1.195

1.5















## **SLF Hosts**

Environmental Entomology, 49(5), 2020, 999–1011 doi: 10.1093/ee/nvaa093 Advance Access Publication Date: 14 August 2020 Forum

OXFORD

#### Forum

#### Worldwide Feeding Host Plants of Spotted Lanternfly, With Significant Additions From North America

#### Lawrence Barringer<sup>1,3</sup> and Claire M. Ciafré<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Entomology, Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, 2301 N. Cameron Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110 <sup>2</sup>NatureCITE: Center for Integrative Taxonomy and Ecology, 1530 E. Farm Road 96, Springfield, MO 65803, and <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author, e-mail: Ibarringer@pa.gov

Subject Editor: Melody Keena

Received 29 April 2020; Editorial decision 14 July 2020







BARTLETT

BARILE'

SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907

#### Table 1. Common plant hosts for spotted lanternfly throughout the season.

| Host                                | Nymphs |      |      | Adults |           |         |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------|------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                                     | May    | June | July | August | September | October |
| Rose (cultivated, multiflora, etc.) |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Perennials                          |        |      |      |        | 3         |         |
| Grape (wild and cultivated)         |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Tree-of-heaven                      |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Black walnut, butternut             |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| River birch                         |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Willow                              |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Sumac                               |        |      |      |        |           |         |
| Red/silver maple                    |        |      |      |        |           |         |



Figure 3. The proportion of adult SLF observed in a shopping center based on tree species. *Data provided by Brian Walsh* 

- 200 trees counted
- 31% were red maples
- Held 94% of SLF



# Figure 2. Consider the range of management options.

#### Figure 2. Consider the range of management options



Graphic Links: 1) "Softer" insecticide, 2) Integrated Pest Management. Image: Emelie Swackhamer and Garo Goodrow, Penn State



### SLF Management







UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND EXTENSION for Arborists, Landscape Managers & Nursery Managers



Variations in spotted lanternfly egg masses including color (yellow, gray, brown) and their covering. Photo: Heather Leach, Penn State Extension





VS

Spotted lanternfly egg masses on a red maple in a residential landscape in Washington County MD. These were the first SLF egg masses reported in MD this year on Sept. 23rd. Photo: Josh Warner, Antietam Tree and Turf



SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 190















Roll over image to zoom in



LanternFly Trap Co

Spotted Lanternfly Trap - Eco Friendly. Minimize Catching Other Wildlife...

★★★★☆ (4.0) <u>4 reviews</u>

\$46.97

Price when purchased online ①



 Free shipping, arrives by Thu, Oct 20 to Arlington, 22202
Want it faster? Add an address to see options

More options

Sold and shipped by <u>Bomb Brands</u> | Bomb Brands
★★☆☆ 28 seller reviews

Section 2016 Free Holiday returns until Jan 31 Details

Add to list

Add to registry

SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907

C
#### **SLF Predation**



## Nymph/Adult Managemen 😿

#### Table 2. Management options for spotted lanternfly throughout the year.

| Management Options  | Jan. | Feb. | March | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
|---|------|------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Do not move any life stage of SLF                               |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |
| Scrape and destroy eggs   |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |
| Spray eggs with dormant rate of horticultural spray oil*        |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |
| Traps (circle traps or protected sticky bands)                  |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |
| Contact insecticide applications (after hatch and avoid bloom)  |      |      |       |       | 2   |      |      |      |       |      |      | 2    |
| Application of imidacloprid (systemic insecticide), after bloom |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |
| Application of dinotefuran (systemic insecticide), after bloom  |      |      |       |       |     |      |      |      |       |      |      |      |

\*Some trees are sensitive to horticultural spray oil.

This is a guide for when to use management tactics to manage SLF. Read each label carefully and apply according to the label directions. These are our current best recommendations for management tactic timing, but not all combinations of active ingredient, timing, application methods, and tree species have been tested.



**PennState Extension** 





Credit: Organic Plant Care: https://organicplantcarellc.com/facts-about-spotted-lanternfly-in-new-jersey-pennsylvania/ Image: Sap and honeydew running down trunk, which attracts yellowjackets and wasps who feed on the sugary excrement and leads to sooty mold, as well as weakens the plant.



SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907







Eric H. Clifton,<sup>1,6,•</sup> Ann E. Hajek,<sup>1</sup> Nina E. Jenkins,<sup>2</sup> Richard T. Roush,<sup>3</sup> John P. Rost,<sup>4</sup> and David J. Biddinger<sup>2,5</sup>

Environmental Entomology, 49(4), 2020, 854–864 doi: 10.1093/ee/nvaa064 Advance Access Publication Date: 3 June 2020 Research

Pest Management

Dr. Paula Shrewsbury Professor Dept. of Entomology



# Figure 2. Consider the range of management options.

#### Figure 2. Consider the range of management options



Graphic Links: 1) "Softer" insecticide, 2) Integrated Pest Management. Image: Emelie Swackhamer and Garo Goodrow, Penn State



#### SLF Management





1 119

/ 100

1.165

1.5



#### **Beech Leaf Disease**



### **Beech Leaf Disease**

- •Emerging disease of *Fagus* spp. – all species/varieties seem to be susceptible
- •Causal agent appears to be a foliar-feeding nematode, initially described in Japan
- •Nematodes only infest/damage leaves

#### Figure 4: Litylenchus crenatae adult male and egg







Upper layer palisade parenchyma Lower layer spongy mesophyll *Fagus grandifolia* leaves revealed by freeze-fracture LT-SEM

Freeze fracture by Gary Bauchan, Colorization by Joe Mowery, ECMU, USDA-ARS Beltsville, MD

#### BLD Leaf with Litylenchus crenatae mccannii

Lower leaf peel, Longitudinal section, Freeze-Fracture, LT-SEM



L. crenatae mccannii in F. grandifolia

### **Beech Leaf Disease**

- •Nematodes present in buds at start of growing season
- In late summer/early fall, move from leaves to new buds and are immediately present in the spring
  Can move locally in water
- films/perhaps other vectors

Figure 4: Litylenchus crenatae adult male and egg







....

Read this week's Science on Friday blog about Beech Leaf Disease and how it effects native birds.

Read the article by Conservation Biologist Mike Watson here:

https://holdenfg.org/news/beech-leaf-disease/



holdenfg.org Beech Leaf Disease » Holden Forests & Gardens

10 😮 🍞

1 comment 1 share 🧕 🔻

💭 Comment

*伝*∕ Share

### **Possible Vectors?**

vector. Dani Martin (Forest Pathologist; US Forest Service) recently began investigating whether and how birds might serve as vectors for *L. crenatae* by capturing birds in BLD-affected areas and collecting fecal and other samples. These are then tested for *L. crenatae* DNA.

Three rounds of netting at Holden (January, April, and August 2022) resulted in nearly 80 birds from more than 10 species. Birds were captured in mist-nets at one of two locations at Holden, held briefly to allow time for defecation, then measured and dusted for mites before being released at capture location.

Many samples have tested positive for *L. crenatae*, indicating that the nematode that causes BLD are present on birds. Next steps include looking for viable (live) nematodes in samples collected from birds, and more direct testing of nematode presence and viability in fecal samples (i.e. demonstration that nematodes can survive passage through a bird's digestive system).

#### EWING ET AL.

#### Forest Pathology State -WILEY

3 of 4



SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907







Figure 1. Present geographic distribution of the American Beech. This map was created using ArcGIS and the documented range of American Beech is depicted in green. The data were downloaded from the United States Geological Survey's Geosciences and Environmental Changed center website [5].

Review

#### Impacts of Beech Bark Disease and Climate Change on American Beech

#### Christopher Alexander Stephanson and Natalie Ribarik Coe \*

Department of Biology, Green Mountain College, Poultney, VT 05764, USA; stephansonc@greenmtn.edu \* Correspondence: coen@greenmtn.edu; Tel.: +1-802-287-8396

Academic Editor: Sigrid Netherer Received: 14 March 2017; Accepted: 28 April 2017; Published: 3 May 2017



### **BLD Early-Stage Symptoms**

# **Dark** banding as damaged bud tissue grows out

#### Best seen from below

Mild symptom increase most in years 2-4





#### **BLD Later-Stage Symptoms**



#### **BLD Later-Stage Damage**

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Lookalikes?

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

Ser

#### Department of Environmental Conservation

Symptoms: Leaves and stems appear to be covered in a white, powdery substance that resembles powdered sugar.

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

Photo by University of Georgia Plant Pathology, Bugwood.org

**Symptoms:** Small brown or black spots on leaves that eventually cause dead areas. New leaves may curl.

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

Anthracnose

Photo by Nancy Gregory, University of Delaware, Bugwood.org

![](_page_56_Picture_10.jpeg)

Powdery mildew

#### Lookalikes?

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Ser

#### Department of Environmental Conservation

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

Beech leaf symptoms three different causal organisms

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

10-0-25

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Lookalikes?

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Long Term Effects**

Individual trees suffer foliage loss and canopy dieback Forest stands dramatically changed as canopy thins

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Long Term Effects

# Tree death likely due to energy depletion

## Roughly 5-7 years

Trees seem to be dying faster in some parts of the Northeast

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **BLD Management Efforts**

- •Substantial time/effort/resources invested in finding solutions – numerous trials, numerous products, numerous sites
- •Collaborations with numerous partners (Cleveland Metro Parks, Davey)

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **BLD Management Efforts** Fluopyram

Fluopyram, the active ingredient in Indemnify, blocks nematodes' cellular respiration and limits their ability to produce energy. The energy-drained nematodes straighten out and become paralyzed. They stop feeding on roots and eventually die.

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Bartlett Tree Experts Foliar Nematicide Trial (Perry, OH)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **BLD Management Efforts**

- •Current research suggests foliar treatments with AI Fluopyram can reduce nematode populations, symptoms
- •Coupled with phosphonate treatments = best management approach at present

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### RESEARCH LABORATORY TECHNICAL REPORT

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

SCIENTIFIC TREE CARE SINCE 1907

#### **Beech Leaf Disease**

Andrew L. Loyd, PhD Plant Pathologist

- wet a

Beech leaf disease (BLD) is an emerging disease caused by a nematode, or microscopic worm. All cultivars of American and European beech are susceptible. Beech are ecologically important trees in the eastern United States and are common feature trees in landscapes. Beech leaf disease was first observed in Lake County, Ohio (Cleveland area) in 2012. Since its initial detection, the disease has been observed in other counties in Ohio, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, and Ontario. BLD is caused by a foliar-feeding nematode, *Litylenchus crenatae*, which was described initially on Japanese beech in Japan where it causes a mild disease. In the United States, however, healthy beech trees have been observed dying in a period of six years from the onset of symptoms. As BLD progressively worsens, the tree's overall health weakens exposing it to secondary insect pests and diseases.

#### Questions? criley@bartlett.com

![](_page_68_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Oak Decline

- •Oak decline is a phenomenon that has been observed over all the mid Atlantic and Southern States.
- •Anne Arundel County is typically Oak-Hickory

![](_page_70_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

- •Oak decline is a phenomenon that has been observed over all of the mid Atlantic States;
- •Oak deline is a disease complex.
- •Decline of a tree is a progressive state of worsening health that is caused by stress. There are several factors identified as stressors that weaken the tree, making it vulnerable to insect pests and diseases.


- •Oak decline is a phenomenon that has been observed over all of the mid Atlantic States;
- •Decline of a tree is a progressive state of worsening health that is caused by stress. There are several factors identified as stressors that weaken the tree, making it vulnerable to insect pests and diseases;
- •Oak Decline generally <u>cannot be stopped</u> once the process starts!



## **Noted Stressors**

- Periods of Drought excess precipitation
- •Urban Stress pollution, soil issues
- •Diseases
- Insect pests

•Overcrowding – Mature trees require more room to grow

# Oak Decline

Bacterial leaf scorch, Xylella fastidiosa on red oak note the yellow halo at the edge of the infection



# Oak Decline

Hypoxylon canker of oak, *Biscogniauxia* atropunctata atropunctata



David J. Moorhead, University of Georgia, Bugwood.org



## Why is this important?

 Oaks compose approximately 30% of the trees in Anne Arundel County.<sup>1</sup>

In certain forest types, they may make up 90%;
 Oak provide higher wildlife benefits than other species.<sup>2,3</sup>

- 2. Tallamy, D (2009), Bringing Nature Home. (2<sup>nd</sup> edition) Portland, OR/USA: Timber Press
- 3. Ober, H. K. & Minogue, P. J. (2014). Managing oaks to produce food for wildlife. WEC249 Gainesville: University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences.

Lister, Tonya W. 2018. Forests of Maryland, 2017. Resource Update FS-166. Newtown Square, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northern Research Station. 4 p.

Oak Decline - Study

•Homeowners were asked to report dead oaks on an online form.

Data complied and entered in GIS and spread sheets
Some sites were field checked and further examined
Sites were matched up with soil types

## Oak Decline Study

## Data points with soil overlays

### Oak Decline Reports 2020-2022



## Oak Decline

# Canopy loss is estimated by formulae developed by the US Forest Service<sup>2</sup> Estimate of acreage is then derived Plans made to restore sites. Planting or natural regeneration

2. Lamson, Neil 1. 1987. D.b.h./crown diameter relationships in mixed Appalachian hardwood stands. Res. Pap. NE-610. Broomall, PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 3 p. Oak Decline - Study Results

•Canopy loss estimated for three years was 23.4 acres

Most significant factor was cycles of weather extremes coupled with droughty soil types
Density of forest as measured by basal area was likely significant in some stands.

2. Oak Decline Report, Summary, E. Reaves, 2023.

#### Chart A

Monthly Total Precipitation for Baltimore Area, MD (ThreadEx)



Precipitation data from BWI indicates 7 years of drought conditions and 4 years of excess precipitation over 12 years

| Year | Jan          | Feb          | Mar          | Apr          | May          | Jun           | Jul           | Aug           | Sep           | Oct          | Nov          | Dec          | Annual        |
|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 2010 | 2.24         | 4.15         | 5.53         | 2.20         | 3.49         | 1.55          | 4.36          | 4.74          | 8.26          | 2.95         | 2.04         | 1.96         | 43.47         |
| 2011 | 2.66         | 2.69         | 4.99         | 3.52         | 2.42         | 3.51          | 2.77          | 10.38         | 13.32         | 3.31         | 2.45         | 4.50         | 56.52         |
| 2012 | 2.54         | 2.42         | 1.76         | 1.99         | 1.99         | 2.68          | 3.27          | 5.82          | 2.21          | 8.92         | 0.71         | 3.11         | 37.42         |
| 2013 | 3.64         | 1.95         | 2.64         | 2.20         | 3.43         | 7.81          | 2.77          | 1.13          | 1.65          | 7.62         | 2.82         | 5.27         | 42.93         |
| 2014 | 2.71         | 4.58         | 4.38         | 8.60         | 3.35         | 3.95          | 2.80          | 7.90          | 3.21          | 4.16         | 3.36         | 3.58         | 52.58         |
| 2015 | 3.89         | 2.24         | 4.67         | 4.30         | 2.10         | 13.09         | 3.49          | 2.46          | 3.25          | 3.40         | 2.42         | 5.85         | 51.16         |
| 2016 | 3.50         | 5.70         | 2.10         | 1.31         | 5.24         | 3.20          | 6.09          | 3.96          | 4.36          | 0.78         | 1.51         | 2.77         | 40.52         |
| 2017 | 2.69         | 1.46         | 3.82         | 3.52         | 5.64         | 1.40          | 7.11          | 4.60          | 1.95          | 2.99         | 2.15         | 0.95         | 38.28         |
| 2018 | 1.00         | 5.30         | 2.25         | 3.20         | 8.17         | 4.77          | 16.73         | 3.84          | 9.19          | 2.69         | 8.14         | 6.54         | 71.82         |
| 2019 | 3.15         | 3.64         | 4.14         | 1.46         | 5.51         | 2.95          | 3.85          | 2.39          | 0.16          | 6.21         | 1.10         | 3.57         | 38.13         |
| 2020 | 3.11         | 2.98         | 3.05         | 5.52         | 1.76         | 5.95          | 3.43          | 11.81         | 4.48          | 4.36         | 6.35         | 4.58         | 57.38         |
| 2021 | 2.15         | 4.85         | 3.90         | 2.07         | 3.63         | 2.75          | 3.65          | 4.36          | 6.04          | 5.24         | 1.33         | 0.82         | 40.79         |
| 2022 | 4.27         | 2.31         | 3.13         | 3.92         | М            | М             | М             | М             | М             | М            | М            | М            | М             |
| Mean | 2.89         | 3.41         | 3.57         | 3.37         | 3.89         | 4.47          | 5.03          | 5.28          | 4.84          | 4.39         | 2.87         | 3.63         | 47.58         |
| Max  | 4.27<br>2022 | 5.70<br>2016 | 5.53<br>2010 | 8.60<br>2014 | 8.17<br>2018 | 13.09<br>2015 | 16.73<br>2018 | 11.81<br>2020 | 13.32<br>2011 | 8.92<br>2012 | 8.14<br>2018 | 6.54<br>2018 | 71.82<br>2018 |
| Min  | 1.00<br>2018 | 1.46<br>2017 | 1.76<br>2012 | 1.31<br>2016 | 1.76<br>2020 | 1.40<br>2017  | 2.77<br>2011  | 1.13<br>2013  | 0.16<br>2019  | 0.78<br>2016 | 0.71<br>2012 | 0.82<br>2021 | 37.42<br>2012 |

Highlighted numbers indicate months\years where precipitation was 10% or more, Below Normal

Highlighted numbers indicate months\years where precipitation was 10% or more, Above Normal

Source: https://www.weather.gov/wrh/Climate?wfo=lwx NOAA Climate Data - Baltimore, MD

Oak Decline – Study Results

•Oak Decline will continue given the weather extremes resulting from Climate Change.

•Measures to alleviate stress in prominent oak trees such as watering during droughty periods may help <sup>2</sup>

•Density of forest as measured by basal area can manipulated by removing select trees to reduce density of forested area. This can be applied to urban/residential areas as well as forested tracts.

2. Oak Decline Report, Summary, E. Reaves, 2023.







Prepared by Earl D. Reaves, Jr. CF Inspections and Permits



Photo Erin Higgins, QWP